

## **CALIFORNIA BOARD OF PODIATRIC MEDICINE**

### **FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

Hearing Date: August 17, 2016

Subject Matter of Proposed Regulations: Oral Arguments

(1) Section(s) Affected: Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, Division 13.9, Sections 1399.730, 1399.731, and 1399.732. Board of Podiatric Medicine of the Medical Board of California.

#### Updated Information

The Initial Statement of Reasons is included in the file. No updates have been made to the information.

#### Local Mandate

The proposed regulations do not impose any mandate on local agencies or school districts.

#### Small Business Impact

The proposed regulations have no significant adverse economic impact on small businesses, since the proposed action only addresses voluntary procedures for the conduct of oral arguments in a formal hearing by an Administrative Law Judge.

Nevertheless, this regulatory proposal may impact a licensee attempting to defend his or her license before the Board as the procedural rules will be clarified prior to the appeal being filed. Additionally, there may be licensees that are small businesses and this clarification is more likely than not to assist them should they face disciplinary proceedings.

This information updates the information that was included in the Notice.

#### Consideration of Alternatives

No alternative considered by the Board of Podiatric Medicine would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law, that that of the adoption of the proposed regulations.

The Board made this determination because the Board of Podiatric Medicine was mandated by Business and Professions Code section 2336 to adopt such regulations.

#### Objections or Recommendations/Responses

There were no objections or recommendations regarding the proposed action. No comments were received during the 45 day comment period or at the hearing.